


Q1 What is the name of your organisation?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	ITC	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	FONGZA	12/22/2015 12:38 PM
3	AMCELA	12/22/2015 12:35 PM
4	RADEZA	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
5	Justicia e Paz	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
6	AENA	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
7	Forum Terra	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
8	Forum Distrital dos Camponeses, Mutuali	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
9	União Provincial de Camponeses de Nampula (UPC-N)	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
10	Akilizetho	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
11	ORAM Nampula	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
12	ITC - F Niassa	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
13	Association 25 September	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
14	Action Aid	12/1/2015 5:50 PM
15	UPCN Niassa	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
16	ROADS Niassa	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
17	ORAM Niassa	11/22/2015 10:37 AM
18	Justicia Ambiental	11/22/2015 10:05 AM
19	CTA - Confederacao das Associacoes economicas de Mocambique	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
20	Grupo Mocambicana da Divida (GMD)	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
21	CESC	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
22	OXFAM	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
23	ADECRU	11/9/2015 9:38 PM

Q2 Does your organisation operate on a national or provincial level? (More than one answer possible)


Answered: 23 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
National	34.78% 8
Provincial (in Nacala corridor: Nampula, Niassa, Zambezia provinces)	78.26% 18
Provincial (not in Nacala corridor)	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 23	

Q3 As a stakeholder organisation, who do you consider to be your constituents? (More than one answer possible)

Answered: 22 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Rural poor (whether farming or not)	54.55% 12
Farmers	45.45% 10
Rural women	18.18% 4
Youth	4.55% 1
People with disabilities	0.00% 0

Other (please specify)	40.91%	9
Total Respondents: 22		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Member organisations	12/22/2015 12:38 PM
2	Communities	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
3	Communities	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
4	Communities	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
5	Communities	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
6	Trade associations and companies	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
7	NGOs	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
8	Promotion of knowledge of human rights and citizenship at grassroots level	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
9	all poor	11/21/2015 5:55 PM

Q4 What mechanisms do you have in place to keep in touch with them in order to represent their interests?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses
Advisory board of appointed members	0.00% 0
Advisory board of elected members	20.00% 4
Strategic community consultations	85.00% 17
Annual general meeting	25.00% 5
Extension visits or meetings	55.00% 11
Total Respondents: 20	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Partner organisations	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Church	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
3	Through partner organisations (implementers)	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
4	daily contact between members	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
5	Through partner organisations (implementers)	12/1/2015 5:50 PM
6	Semi-annual general meeting	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
7	Through partner organisations	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
8	co-operation with partner organisations	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
9	Structure of membership groups, feed into higher levels	11/9/2015 9:38 PM

Q5 Does your organisation have a formal strategy for rural development? (Could we have a copy?)


Answered: 22 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	18.18%	4
Yes, not given	54.55%	12
No	27.27%	6
Total		22

Q6 What do you consider the best way(s) to advance farmers' interests and address rural poverty, translated into priority institutional strategies? Please rank your answers in order of importance.

Answered: 18 Skipped: 5




	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total	Score
Influencing social grant systems	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	6.00
Influencing environmental laws and policies	25.00% 2	12.50% 1	25.00% 2	25.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12.50% 1	8	7.50
Influencing land laws and policies	16.67% 2	58.33% 7	16.67% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	12	8.42

Improve and strengthen security of access to land	31.25% 5	12.50% 2	31.25% 5	18.75% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	6.25% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	16	8.25
Provide market access to small producers	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	7.80
Improve farming and land management methods	27.27% 3	27.27% 3	27.27% 3	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	8.64
Advocate gender equality	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 3	16.67% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	6	7.67
Advocate inclusivity for youth and people living with disabilities	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	6.00
Other (please specify)	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	8.86
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	8.25

Q7 How did your organisation become involved with ProSAVANA?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Constituents raised issue(s) related to the programme	4.55% 1
Our project(s) are affected by ProSAVANA (please elaborate below)	0.00% 0
Our project(s) could be affected (please elaborate below)	4.55% 1
We learned about ProSAVANA through the media	13.64% 3
We heard about it through our professional network	59.09% 13

We were invited to a consultation	4.55%	1
Other (please specify)	13.64%	3
Total		22

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	We heard from our farmers' union	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
2	We received strange visits from Brazilian and Japanese consultants	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
3	Land delimitations are very important, and people have very little knowledge about the law.	11/22/2015 10:37 AM

Q8 Are you in possession of the ProSAVANA planning document?

Answered: 21 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	80.95% 17
Yes, but not read	0.00% 0
No longer interested	4.76% 1
No	14.29% 3
Total	21

Q9 Which version is this (date)?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 14

#	Responses	Date
1	March 2015	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Two versions	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
3	The second	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
4	March 2015	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
5	March 2015	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
6	2015	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
7	March 2015	11/22/2015 10:05 AM
8	March 2015	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
9	2013	11/9/2015 9:38 PM

Q10 What other documentation regarding ProSAVANA do you have or have access to?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 19

#	Responses	Date
1	None	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
2	Older Master Plans	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
3	Leaflets	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
4	Internet posts ProSAVANA technical papers	11/9/2015 9:38 PM

Q11 What do you see as potentially beneficial aspects of ProSAVANA?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 5


Answer Choices	Responses	
1.	100.00%	18
2.	61.11%	11
3.	27.78%	5
4.	5.56%	1
5.	0.00%	0

#	1.	Date
1	Community development	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Improvement of agric production	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
3	Development of family farming	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
4	Protection of farmers resources	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
5	Availability of credit	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
6	Better market access	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
7	Respect for rights of households	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
8	Land rights must be protected	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
9	Increased support to small producers	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
10	Credit for farming inputs	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
11	Increase of agricultural production and productivity	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
12	Investment in the area of the corridor	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
13	Increased food production	11/22/2015 10:37 AM
14	None	11/22/2015 10:05 AM
15	Development of private sector in the corridor	11/22/2015 9:44 AM

16	Economic development in the corridor	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
17	Increase of farmers income	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
18	Ideally, opportunity for grassroots involvement	11/9/2015 9:38 PM
#	2.	Date
1	Increased agricultural production	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Credit guarantees	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
3	Technical assistance	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
4	Better standards of living and food security	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
5	More attention to land tenure	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
6	Help with transport	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
7	New agricultural technology	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
8	Help communities better themselves	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
9	Jobs and income for the people	11/22/2015 10:37 AM
10	Increased employment	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
11	Increase in food security	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
#	3.	Date
1	Investment	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Marketing assistance	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
3	Access to markets	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
4	Income earning opportunities for families	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
5	Improvement in access to education and health services	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
#	4.	Date
1	Corporate Social Investment benefits for the people of the corridor	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
#	5.	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q12 Please indicate to what extent you are satisfied with the above aspects. (5 = very satisfied, 1 = barely satisfied)

Answered: 18 Skipped: 5



	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
1.	16.67% 3	16.67% 3	33.33% 6	33.33% 6	0.00% 0	18	2.83
2.	9.09% 1	27.27% 3	36.36% 4	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	11	2.82
3.	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	2.60
4.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	4.00

5.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00
----	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	---	------

Q13 What do you see as potentially negative aspects of ProSAVANA?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 3

Answer Choices	Responses	
1.	100.00%	20
2.	90.00%	18
3.	45.00%	9
4.	30.00%	6
5.	5.00%	1


#	1.	Date
1	Landlessness and poverty	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Lack of transparency	12/22/2015 12:38 PM
3	Landlessness and poverty	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
4	No inclusivity	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
5	Danger of landlessness	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
6	Erosion of farmers rights	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
7	Eroding of land rights	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
8	No attention for family farming	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
9	No attention for family farming	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
10	Commercialisation can push out food production	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
11	Classification of producers (small, medium, large) unclear	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
12	Disbursement difficult	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
13	Total lack of openness about real intentions	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
14	Problems regarding access to land	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
15	Conflict could arise because of insufficient knowledge of land law. This has already happened in cases unrelated to ProSAVANA	11/22/2015 10:37 AM

16	Danger of all business opportunities going to better connected companies in Maputo	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
17	No open debate about aims and means	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
18	Erosion of basic rights, especially land rights	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
19	Too much attention to commercial agriculture, at the expense of family farms	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
20	Powerful individuals benefiting unlawfully	11/9/2015 9:38 PM
#	2.	Date
1	Wrong development models	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Lack of consultation	12/22/2015 12:38 PM
3	Dominance of large scale agriculture	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
4	Landlessness	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
5	Lack of communication	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
6	Environmental issues	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
7	Poor representation of interests	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
8	No good consultations	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
9	Looking at agriculture in isolation	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
10	Everything directed from above	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
11	Link unclear between small producers and land tenure	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
12	Not enough technical assistance	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
13	Potential threats to land rights	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
14	Lack of inclusivity	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
15	District Government often not corrupt and not co-operative	11/22/2015 10:37 AM
16	True local feelings not identified	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
17	Highhanded approach to implementation from Government	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
18	Programmes designed wrong	11/9/2015 9:38 PM
#	3.	Date
1	Attitude of Government towards CSOs	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
2	Foreign concepts	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
3	High risk of land grabbing	12/4/2015 2:53 PM

4	Food security in danger of being pushed aside by cash crops	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
5	No trust	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
6	Lack of clarity about true beneficiaries	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
7	Negative effect on food security	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
8	Lack of information and openness about the programme	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
9	Methodology not linked to real needs	11/9/2015 9:38 PM
#	4.	Date
1	High risk of corruption	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
2	Wrong attitude with Government	12/1/2015 8:36 PM
3	Attitude and behaviour of Government officials	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
4	Danger of non-observation of basic rights	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
5	Danger of erosion of basic rights	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
6	Conceptually wrong	11/9/2015 9:38 PM
#	5.	Date
1	Top - down implementation	11/22/2015 9:32 AM

Q14 Please indicate to what extent you are dissatisfied with the above aspects. (5 = very dissatisfied, 1 = slightly dissatisfied)

Answered: 20 Skipped: 3



	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
1.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	40.00% 8	15.00% 3	45.00% 9	20	4.05
2.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11.11% 2	38.89% 7	50.00% 9	18	4.39
3.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33.33% 3	11.11% 1	55.56% 5	9	4.22
4.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	66.67% 4	33.33% 2	6	4.33

5.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	1	5.00
----	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	---------------------	---	------

Q15 How do you think the beneficial aspects could be enhanced?

Answered: 19 Skipped: 4

#	Responses	Date
1	Better communication and explanation Inclusivity and transparence	12/22/2015 1:16 PM
2	Govt to recognise mistakes and reach out to CSOs	12/22/2015 12:38 PM
3	Promote open and transparent communication with CSOs Test ProSavana in a few districts More diverse specialists (social, environmental, etc) in Government ProSavana team	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
4	Genuine consultations	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
5	More clarity and inclusivity	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
6	More and better communication Farmers having a say in the process	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
7	Better representation and a say in project design	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
8	Improve production potential of family farmers	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
9	Consult with communities	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
10	More inclusivity	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
11	More associations can be assisted	12/1/2015 6:40 PM
12	More openness	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
13	Promote inclusivity of the people on the ground. Consultations should be organised by independent parties.	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
14	Start all over again	11/22/2015 10:05 AM
15	Joint implementation of the programme between Government and private sector	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
16	Strengthen dialogue between Govt, NGOs and any other actors. Improve clarity about strategies and logical steps from one stage to the next.	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
17	Better dialogue between Govt and stakeholders	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
18	Improved dialogue and consultation	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
19	Redesign the entire programme	11/9/2015 9:38 PM


Q16 How do you think the negative aspects could be mitigated?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 7

#	Responses	Date
1	Same as above	12/22/2015 9:44 AM
2	Standpoint against forced relocations	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
3	Better communications and openness to suggestions	12/21/2015 3:13 PM
4	More and better communication Farmers having a say in the process	12/15/2015 4:27 PM
5	Better representation and a say in project design	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
6	Better consultations A Mozambican ProSavana	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
7	Improve internal consistency of the programme Define clear strategies for family sector	12/4/2015 2:53 PM
8	More inclusivity	12/2/2015 5:09 AM
9	As 15	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
10	As 15.	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
11	Start all over again	11/22/2015 10:05 AM
12	More training for local companies in the corridor to enable them to compete with those in Maputo and acquire business	11/22/2015 9:44 AM
13	As 15.	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
14	Govt should undertake to observe all legislation	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
15	Undertaking by Govt to respect basic rights	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
16	Redesign the entire programme	11/9/2015 9:38 PM

Q17 Overall, what is your organisation's position on the current state of the programme?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
We are opposed to it in total and will actively advocate against its implementation	21.74% 5
We are opposed to its current form and wish to see it changed significantly	43.48% 10
We agree to the format, but think some operational changes are needed	26.09% 6
We would like to see a number of smaller adjustments	8.70% 2
We think that the programme is fine as it stands	0.00% 0
Total	23


Q18 In what direction would you like to see the programme developing?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 11

#	Responses	Date
1	Genuinely people-driven program	12/22/2015 8:23 AM
2	Better attuned to the needs of farmers	12/4/2015 9:28 PM
3	A Mozambican ProSavana	12/4/2015 3:23 PM
4	Co-create the programme with CSOs. A profound reflection should be made on the development models, highlighting the issue of agro-business	12/1/2015 5:50 PM
5	More clarity about the true beneficiaries and true intentions of the programme	11/22/2015 2:02 PM
6	More inclusivity. Impartial moderating of discussions	11/22/2015 10:57 AM
7	Good co-operation between Govt at all levels, NGOs and private sector, to bring development that is not at the expense of the people	11/22/2015 10:37 AM
8	Real needs of the people	11/22/2015 10:05 AM
9	More inclusivity. More attention to proper strategic planning.	11/22/2015 9:32 AM
10	It should be closed	11/22/2015 12:02 AM
11	A more inclusive approach with more attention to the beneficiaries stated needs.	11/21/2015 5:55 PM
12	A genuine needs-based programme designed according to input from the basis.	11/9/2015 9:38 PM

Q19 Would you be willing to enter into a formal dialogue process with ProSAVANA with the aim of improving the programme to better serve the interests of rural Mozambican families and farmers?


Answered: 20 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	80.00%	16
No	5.00%	1
Unsure	15.00%	3
Total		20

Q20 Would you be willing to help establish an advisory committee for ProSAVANA?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	76.47%	13
No	5.88%	1
Unsure	17.65%	3
Total		17

Q21 On a scale from 1 to 5, can you indicate if you are now better informed about the ProSAVANA programme?

Answered: 0 Skipped: 23

! No matching responses.

Answer Choices	Responses
1 Not at all better	0.00% 0
2	0.00% 0
3	0.00% 0
4	0.00% 0
5 Very much better	0.00% 0
Total	0

Q22 What is your position now towards the ProSAVANA programme?

Answered: 0 Skipped: 23

! No matching responses.

Answer Choices	Responses
1 We are completely opposed to the programme	0.00% 0
2	0.00% 0
3	0.00% 0
4	0.00% 0
5 We fully support the programme	0.00% 0
Total	0